School Choice

- Introductions
- School Budget
 - Background, Comparisons and Observations
 - Recommendations
- School Choice Reform

Introductions

Ware School Committee Members

Aaron Sawabi, Chair
Danielle Souza, Vice Chair
Brian Winslow
Bill Gunn
Marcia Vadnais



www.wareps.org



Select a School

GUEST | LOGIN (?)



Translate >

Q

WARE PUBLIC SCHOOLS





SCHOOLS CONTACT US FOR STAFF HOME NEWS FOR PARENTS FOR STUDENTS STAFF DIRECTORY SUPERINTENDENT



WMS Winter Concert played to a full house!! Click for More **Photos**

MARCH 2015 * >>

12 14 15 18 19 21 22 26 27 28 29 30 31

Go to Main Calendar

UPCOMING EVENTS

National Honor MAR **Society Induction**

All Day

School Banking MAR 18 9:00AM - 9:20AM

MAR

3rd Grade **Fundraiser**

6:00PM - 8:00PM

More Events >

QUICK LINKS

















SUPERINTENDENT'S MESSAGE

Good Day!! As we begin to feel the return of Spring in the air there is also a campaign you can feel happening within the

WARE PUBLIC SCHOOLS





HOME NEWS SCHOOLS CONTACT US FOR PARENTS FOR STAFF FOR STUDENTS STAFF DIRECTORY SUPERINTENDENT

Superintendent's Message

Superintendent's Documents

Teacher Feature!!

"WARE" Are They Now?

- Yvonne G. Pesce
- Nathan Sloat
- Kate Masse
- George Staiti
- Sarah O'Regan
- Rachel Masse

Ware Schools' Event Photos

"WARE" ARE THEY NOW?

Ware Public Schools » Superintendent » "WARE" Are They Now?

Ware High School Alumni will be showcased here to let people know what they are doing now!



Rachel Masse

Ware High School Class of 2003, Currently the Kindergarten Special Education Teacher at SMK! READ MORE...



Sarah O'Regan Posted on 02/03/2015

Ware Graduate, Class of 2011, Currently enrolled in the Physician Assistant Program at the Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences (MCPHS) in Boston READ MORE...



George Staiti
Posted on 12/30/2014

Ware High School Graduate, Class of 1965 READ MORE...

Introductions

Ware Alumni Being Showcased on the Website Inspiring the Community with Student Success Stories!



Yvonne G. Pesce
Pasted on 10/14/2014
Ware Alumni - Assistant District Attorney for the Northwestern District READ MORE.



Nathan Sloat
Posted on 11/03/2014
Microsoft software engineer and former Ware High School graduate READ MORE...



Kate Masse
Posted on 12/01/2014
WHS Graduate in 2006, Doctor of Physical Therapy READ MORE.



George Staiti
Posted on 12/30/2014
Ware High School Graduate, Class of 1965 READ MORE...



Ware Graduate, Class of 2011, Currently enrolled in the Physician Assistant Program at the Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences (MCPHS) in Boston READ MORE...



Rachel Masse Posted on 03/10/2015

Ware High School Class of 2003, Currently the Kindergarten Special Education Teacher at SMK! READ MORE.

	ttp://www.doe.mass.edu	- Indiana	•		. Dansıtı	mant of Flor		d Caasuds-	. Calues4:	3				12/22/2014
			Was	sacnusett	s Departi			d Secondary	Education	1				
						Office of Sc	hool Finance	9						
				Net School	ol Spendi	ng and Fou	ndation Bu	dget FY14 a	nd FY15					
					308 of	324 districts	s districts r	eporting						
								' '						
	SORT													
				FY14							FY15			
		required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted
		net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % o
LEA	district name	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation
•	T	•	•	•	V	•	T	•	_	•	•	•	•	•
	ABINGTON	20,395,088	22,633,790	2,238,702	111.0	18,967,493	119.3	20,366,888	24,060,492	6.3	3,693,604	118.1	18,287,522	
	ACTON BOXBOROUGH	28,899,436	36,055,926	7,156,490	124.8	28,337,812	127.2	54,544,844	69,725,225	93.4	15,180,381	127.8	52,422,543	
	CUSHNET	12,217,805	12,798,694	580,889	104.8	11,227,245	114.0	12,543,470	13,220,537	3.3	677,067	105.4	11,538,501	
	ADAMS CHESHIRE	14,967,963	16,256,696	1,288,733	108.6	14,525,980	111.9	15,110,118	16,782,574	3.2	1,672,456		14,419,594	
5 A	AGAWAM	40,950,719	50,014,056	9,063,337	122.1	39,705,690	126.0	41,055,980	52,348,943	4.7	11,292,963	127.5	40,513,463	
8 A	MHERST	14,677,125	22,609,246	7,932,121	154.0	11,999,014	188.4	14,733,476	23,236,702	2.8	8,503,226	157.7	11,927,370	194.8
605 A	MHERST PELHAM	20,940,680	27,008,092	6,067,412	129.0	15,889,254	170.0	20,795,615	27,857,606	3.1	7,061,991	134.0	15,504,219	179.7
9 A	NDOVER	58,371,737	81,654,328	23,282,591	139.9	57,208,568	142.7	58,496,767	83,770,317	2.6	25,273,550	143.2	56,905,481	147.2
10 A	ARLINGTON	46,406,615	57,753,880	11,347,265	124.5	46,406,615	124.5	47,819,420	62,735,309	8.6	14,915,889	131.2	47,819,420	131.2
610 A	ASHBURNHAM WEST	21,150,403	22,927,232	1,776,829	108.4	20,785,812	110.3	21,199,498	24,103,797	5.1	2,904,299	113.7	20,944,478	115.1
14 A	ASHLAND	23,304,655	29,782,440	6,477,785	127.8	23,024,893	129.3	23,727,837	30,733,142	3.2	7,005,305	129.5	23,394,153	131.4
	ASSABET VALLEY	11,948,006	12,208,973	260,967	102.2	11,645,533	104.8	11,814,465	12,425,917	1.8	611,452		11,767,240	
	ATHOL ROYALSTON	19,454,602	19,829,898	375,296	101.9	17,517,520	113.2	19,630,654	20,917,945	5.5	1,287,291	106.6	17,539,155	
	ATTLEBORO	66,017,928	65,861,629	-156,299	99.8	65,997,193	99.8	67,070,690	67,709,591	2.8	638,901	101.0	66,876,305	
	AUBURN	23,019,509	28,030,070	5,010,561	121.8	22,143,760	126.6	22,953,110	29,001,679	3.5	6,048,569		22,469,657	
	VON	5,785,054	8,217,078	2,432,024	142.0	5,277,961	155.7	5,627,673	8,706,714	6.0	3,079,041		5,301,351	
	YER SHIRLEY	18,417,535	20,748,215	2,330,680	112.7	17,689,265	117.3	18,740,647	22,191,768	7.0	3,451,121	118.4	18,020,997	
	BARNSTABLE	55,193,835	65,306,537	10,112,702	118.3	53,905,631	121.1	54,648,673	68,019,758	4.2	13,371,085		53,870,373	
	BEDFORD	25,578,165	38,588,568	13,010,403	150.9	25,381,921	152.0	26,035,580	40,369,781	4.6	14,334,201	155.1	25,862,895	
	BELCHERTOWN	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348		23,327,152	
	BELLINGHAM	23,209,464	26,379,795	3,170,331	113.7	22,859,867	115.4	23,510,812	27,978,285	6.1	4,467,473		22,925,709	
	BELMONT	36,239,998	44,582,567	8,342,569	123.0	35,925,170	124.1	37,689,370	46,486,634	4.3	8,797,264		37,689,370	
	BERKLEY	6,943,883	9,041,180	2,097,297	130.2	6,637,700	136.2	7,077,770	8,541,785	-5.5	1,464,015		6,578,225	

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³

Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

		SORT														
						EV1/							FY15			
				required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted
				net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % of
LEA	district name	Population 2010	Per Capita ²	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation
•	Υ,	Census 1 📢	•	•	•	•	v	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
43 B	RIMFIELD	3,609	\$18,794	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047	7 140.1
74 D	EERFIELD	5,125	\$22,620	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088	3 158.4
117 H	ADLEY	5,250	\$21,164	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188	3 141.2
111 G	RANBY	6,240	\$19,990	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053	116.6
191 M	IONSON	8,560	\$19,832	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560	118.1
309 V	VARE	9,872	\$15,470	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777	7 102.8
227 P	ALMER	12,140	\$17,347	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309	114.5
24 B	ELCHERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152	115.3
159 L	ONGMEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641	148.9
86 E	ASTHAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516	111.6
114 G	REENFIELD	17,456	\$15,296	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904	117.9
161 LI	UDLOW	21,103	\$18,452	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046	4.8	5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634	124.2
137 H	OLYOKE	39,880	\$11,845	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903	3 102.2
61 C	HICOPEE	55,298	\$16,608	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875	102.6
95 F	ALL RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512	100.3
860 P	ATHFINDER	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342	131.2
753 Q	UABBIN	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178	3 132.8
670 F	RONTIER	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411	182.2

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³ Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

			SORT													
						FY14							FY15			
				required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted
				net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % of
LEA	district name	Population 2010	Per Capita ²	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation
•	γ,	Census 1	_1	v	•	•	v .	•	v	v	•	•	•	•	•	•
137	HOLYOKE	39,880	\$11,845	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903	102.2
95	FALL RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512	100.3
114	GREENFIELD	17,456	\$15,296	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904	117.9
309	WARE	9,872	\$15,470	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777	7 102.8
61	CHICOPEE	55,298	\$16,608	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875	102.6
227	PALMER	12,140	\$17,347	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309	114.5
161	LUDLOW	21,103	\$18,452	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046	4.8	5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634	124.2
43	BRIMFIELD	3,609	\$18,794	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047	140.1
86	EASTHAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516	111.6
24	BELCHERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152	115.3
191	MONSON	8,560	\$19,832	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560	118.1
111	GRANBY	6,240	\$19,990	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053	116.6
117	HADLEY	5,250	\$21,164	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188	3 141.2
74	DEERFIELD	5,125	\$22,620	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088	158.4
159	LONGMEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641	148.9
670	FRONTIER	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411	182.2
860	PATHFINDER	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342	131.2
753	QUABBIN	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178	3 132.8

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³

Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

	SORT														
	JOIN														
						FY14							FY15		
				required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted	
				net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation
LEA district name	Population 2010	Per Capita ²	2014 Residential	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget
-	Census ¹ ↓†	▼	Tax Rate 🕎	▼	▼	•	v	•	₩ ₩	•	▼	•	•	▼	•
43 BRIMFIELD	3,609	\$18,794	\$16.42	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047
74 DEERFIELD	5,125	\$22,620	\$13.71	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088
117 HADLEY	5,250	\$21,164	\$10.64	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188
111 GRANBY	6,240	\$19,990	\$16.78	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053
191 MONSON	8,560	\$19,832	\$16.20	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560
309 WARE	9,872	\$15,470	\$18.31	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777
227 PALMER	12,140	\$17,347	\$18.06	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309
24 BELCHERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	\$17.72	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152
159 LONGMEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	\$23.15	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641
86 EASTHAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	\$14.86	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516
114 GREENFIELD	17,456	\$15,296	\$20.53	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904
161 LUDLOW	21,103	\$18,452	\$17.22	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046	4.8	5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634
137 HOLYOKE	39,880	\$11,845	\$19.04	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903
61 CHICOPEE	55,298	\$16,608	\$16.51	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875
95 FALL RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	\$12.58	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512
670 FRONTIER	Regional	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411
860 PATHFINDER	Regional	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342
753 QUABBIN	Regional	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³

Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

				SORT													
						FY14							FY15				
				required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted	
				net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % of	
LEA	district name	Population 2010	Per Capita ²	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation	0
•	V	y Census ¹ ▼	<u> </u>	1	•	•	•	•	v	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
43 E	BRIMFIELD	3,609	\$18,794	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047	140.1	26.0
74 [DEERFIELD	5,125	\$22,620	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088	158.4	30.0
117 H	HADLEY	5,250	\$21,164	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188	141.2	37.0
670 F	RONTIER	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411	182.2	65.0
111 (GRANBY	6,240	\$19,990	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053	116.6	75.0
860 F	PATHFINDER	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342	131.2	76.0
191 I	MONSON	8,560	\$19,832	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560	118.1	99.0
309 \	NARE	9,872	\$15,470	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777	102.8	116.0
227 F	PALMER	12,140	\$17,347	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309	114.5	135.0
86 E	EASTHAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516	111.6	141.0
114 (GREENFIELD	17,456	\$15,296	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904	117.9	167.0
24 E	BELCHERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152	115.3	184.0
753 (QUABBIN	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178	132.8	191.0
159 l	ONGMEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641	148.9	195.0
161 l	LUDLOW	21,103	\$18,452	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046	4.8	5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634	124.2	204.0
137 H	HOLYOKE	39,880	\$11,845	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903	102.2	290.0
61 (CHICOPEE	55,298	\$16,608	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875	102.6	296.0
95 F	ALL RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512	100.3	300.0

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³

Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

						SORT										
						FY14							FY15			
				required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted
				net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % of
LEA di	istrict name	Population 2010	Per Capita 2	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation
•	7,	Census 1	•	•	•	_ 1	V	•	v	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
95 FALL F	RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512	100.3
227 PALME	ER	12,140	\$17,347	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309	114.5
309 WARE		9,872	\$15,470	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777	102.8
191 MONS	ON	8,560	\$19,832	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560	118.1
117 HADLE	EY	5,250	\$21,164	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188	141.2
111 GRANE	BY	6,240	\$19,990	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053	116.6
86 EASTH	HAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516	111.6
43 BRIMF	FIELD	3,609	\$18,794	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047	140.1
74 DEERF	FIELD	5,125	\$22,620	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088	158.4
137 HOLYC	OKE	39,880	\$11,845	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903	102.2
860 PATHF	FINDER	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342	
24 BELCH	HERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152	115.3
753 QUABE	BIN	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178	132.8
670 FRONT	TIER	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411	
61 CHICO	OPEE	55,298	\$16,608	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875	102.6
114 GREEN		17,456	\$15,296	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904	
161 LUDLO	OW	21,103	\$18,452	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046		5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634	
159 LONGN	MEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641	148.9

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³

Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

								SORT								
						FY14				•••••			FY15			
				required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted
				net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % o
LEA	district name	Population 2010	Per Capita 2	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation
•	\$	7 Census ¹ ▼	*	v	•	•	V	* 1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
43	BRIMFIELD	3,609	\$18,794	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047	7 140.1
74	DEERFIELD	5,125	\$22,620	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088	3 158.4
670	FRONTIER	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411	182.2
117	HADLEY	5,250	\$21,164	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188	3 141.2
111	GRANBY	6,240	\$19,990	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053	3 116.6
860	PATHFINDER	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342	2 131.2
191	MONSON	8,560	\$19,832	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560	118.1
309	WARE	9,872	\$15,470	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777	7 102.8
227	PALMER	12,140	\$17,347	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309	114.5
86	EASTHAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516	111.6
114	GREENFIELD	17,456	\$15,296	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904	117.9
753	QUABBIN	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178	3 132.8
24	BELCHERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152	2 115.3
159	LONGMEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641	148.9
161	LUDLOW	21,103	\$18,452	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046	4.8	5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634	124.2
137	HOLYOKE	39,880	\$11,845	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903	3 102.2
61	CHICOPEE	55,298	\$16,608	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875	102.6
95	FALL RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512	2 100.3

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³

Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

								SORT							
					FY14							FY15			
			required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted
			net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % of
LEA district name	Population 2010	Per Capita ²	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation
→	Census 1		•	•	•	V	•	T	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
95 FALL RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512	100.3
137 HOLYOKE	39,880	\$11,845	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903	3 102.2
309 WARE	9,872	\$15,470	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777	7 102.8
61 CHICOPEE	55,298	\$16,608	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875	102.6
227 PALMER	12,140	\$17,347	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309	114.5
86 EASTHAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516	111.6
24 BELCHERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152	115.3
114 GREENFIELD	17,456	\$15,296	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904	117.9
191 MONSON	8,560	\$19,832	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560	118.1
161 LUDLOW	21,103	\$18,452	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046	4.8	5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634	124.2
111 GRANBY	6,240	\$19,990	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053	116.6
117 HADLEY	5,250	\$21,164	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188	3 141.2
860 PATHFINDER	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342	131.2
753 QUABBIN	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178	3 132.8
43 BRIMFIELD	3,609	\$18,794	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047	140.1
159 LONGMEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641	148.9
74 DEERFIELD	5,125	\$22,620	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088	158.4
670 FRONTIER	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411	182.2

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ³

Office of School Finance

Net School Spending and Foundation Budget FY14 and FY15

													SORT			
						FY14							FY15			
				required	actual	amt over	actual		actual nss	required	budgeted	% chg	amt over	budgeted		budgeted
				net school	net school	or under	as pct of	foundation	as pct of	net school	net school	FY14 to	or under	as pct of	foundation	nss as % c
LEA	district name	Population 2010	Per Capita ²	spending	spending	required	required	budget	foundation	spending	spending	FY15	required	required	budget	foundation
•	-2	Census 1	•	•	v	•	v.	•	•	•	•	•	_ T	•	•	•
95 F	FALL RIVER	88,857	\$12,121	122,984,522	119,453,840	-3,530,682	97.1	122,984,522	97.1	129,885,335	127,225,042	6.5	-2,660,293	98.0	126,851,512	2 100.3
227 F	PALMER	12,140	\$17,347	18,269,486	17,309,523	-959,963	94.7	16,198,576	106.9	18,443,864	17,721,412	2.4	-722,452	96.1	15,478,309	9 114.5
309 \	WARE	9,872	\$15,470	14,836,587	14,461,103	-375,484	97.5	14,182,968	102.0	14,687,261	14,717,320	1.8	30,059	100.2	14,311,777	7 102.8
191 I	MONSON	8,560	\$19,832	12,740,430	13,254,121	513,691	104.0	11,640,741	113.9	12,888,284	13,254,136	0.0	365,852	102.8	11,227,560	118.1
111 (GRANBY	6,240	\$19,990	9,243,938	9,978,380	734,442	107.9	8,574,245	116.4	9,233,514	9,648,253	-3.3	414,739	104.5	8,273,053	3 116.6
86 E	EASTHAMPTON	16,053	\$19,541	18,643,951	19,427,603	783,652	104.2	17,991,276	108.0	19,076,016	19,888,333	2.4	812,317	104.3	17,813,516	111.6
43 E	BRIMFIELD	3,609	\$18,794	2,647,286	3,569,443	922,157	134.8	2,589,280	137.9	2,697,781	3,581,834	0.3	884,053	132.8	2,557,047	7 140.1
137 H	HOLYOKE	39,880	\$11,845	79,084,666	80,384,902	1,300,236	101.6	78,916,203	101.9	79,477,472	80,402,366	0.0	924,894	101.2	78,698,903	3 102.2
74 [DEERFIELD	5,125	\$22,620	3,762,922	4,868,329	1,105,407	129.4	3,138,146	155.1	3,789,594	5,042,014	3.6	1,252,420	133.0	3,182,088	3 158.4
117 H	HADLEY	5,250	\$21,164	6,487,576	7,172,125	684,549	110.6	6,128,452	117.0	6,290,105	8,443,305	17.7	2,153,200	134.2	5,981,188	3 141.2
860 F	PATHFINDER	Regional	Regional	9,299,416	11,015,002	1,715,586	118.4	9,290,876	118.6	9,245,583	11,469,889	4.1	2,224,306	124.1	8,740,342	2 131.2
61 (CHICOPEE	55,298	\$16,608	85,269,685	87,825,772	2,556,087	103.0	85,269,685	103.0	86,339,875	88,614,349	0.9	2,274,474	102.6	86,339,875	5 102.6
24 E	BELCHERTOWN	14,649	\$19,783	24,248,803	26,164,678	1,915,875	107.9	23,833,925	109.8	24,610,522	26,900,870	2.8	2,290,348	109.3	23,327,152	2 115.3
753 (QUABBIN	Regional	Regional	26,080,661	28,019,743	1,939,082	107.4	22,333,577	125.5	26,228,214	28,838,193	2.9	2,609,979	110.0	21,722,178	3 132.8
670 F	FRONTIER	Regional	Regional	7,492,455	9,897,657	2,405,202	132.1	5,648,702	175.2	7,508,734	10,413,649	5.2	2,904,915	138.7	5,716,411	1 182.2
114 (GREENFIELD	17,456	\$15,296	21,764,586	24,622,253	2,857,667	113.1	21,688,417	113.5	21,745,551	25,042,166	1.7	3,296,615	115.2	21,246,904	117.9
161 L	LUDLOW	21,103	\$18,452	28,047,405	32,286,034	4,238,629	115.1	27,755,976	116.3	28,303,034	33,836,046	4.8	5,533,012	119.5	27,236,634	124.2
159 L	LONGMEADOW	15,784	\$28,192	26,558,037	35,169,656	8,611,619	132.4	24,962,248	140.9	26,111,040	37,001,177	5.2	10,890,137	141.7	24,849,641	1 148.9

Observations: Ware

Comparison to nearby / known towns

- One of the smaller populated / lower per-capita towns in the area
- One of the higher taxed rate towns in area

Budget (All Districts)

- Constrained by Ware's small size
 - We are not meeting 100% of Net School Spending
 - 2014 showed we fall in the top lowest of not meeting NSS
 - 2015 plan projects no significant change
- $-2014 \rightarrow$ Is in the ...
 - 116th (out 324; ~36%-38%) smallest Required NSS
 - 115th smallest Foundation budget
 - 14th smallest amount under spent of Required NSS
 - 10th smallest <u>percent under spent</u> of Required NSS
 - 23rd smallest <u>percent under spent</u> of calculated Foundation Budget
- 2015 (all Districts)
 - In the lowest 6% of planning to meet the NSS required

School Choice:

 The impact on Ware and other smaller schools exacerbates the above and is typically greater than larger schools

Recommendations

- Market the school to attract students on existing offerings:
 - Strengths, Uniqueness, Student Successes (in progress)
- Implement an improved, needs based budget that will
 - Retain Ware students (est'd \$7-8k per pupil impact)
 - Bring back Ware students
 - Bring in new students (est'd \$5k per pupil impact)
 - Attract new homeowners and new business
- Seeks legislative changes in School Choice program

Recommendations: Anticipated Impact

Potential Financial Impact *

- Resident Students
 - 100% return → \$8k x 154 students = \$1.232mil
 - 50% return \rightarrow \$8k x 77 students = \$616k
 - 25% return → \$8k x 39 students = \$312k
- Non-resident students: hypothetical est. of 50 students x \$5k = \$225k
- Increase in
 - Taxpaying homeowners --?
 - New business to serve above: --?

^{*} Est'd 154 students choicing out a.o. 11/27/14); Does not include impact from successful school choice reform

School Choice: Potential Reform

	POTENT	IAL REFORM	AREAS
EXISTING STAKEHOLDER ISSUES	Eligibility Criteria	Cost Sharing	District Budgetary Incentives / Disincentives
The Student (N/A due to unconditional school choice options)	a,b	С	n/a
The Receiving School Does not necessarily use school choice aid to support requirements (E.g., class size, course offerings, transportation)	b	d,e	f
The Sending School Is penalized unconditionally	a	c,d,e	g,h

DESCRIPTION OF REFORM AREAS

Eligibility Criteria

- a. Sending school is underpeforming
- Receiving school is better performing

Cost Sharing

- c. Student to share in cost to decide to school choice despite eligibility criteria not being met
- d. Depending on income levels of student/family, receiving School may subsidize the student with state sponsored budgetary incentives
- e. Depending on income levels of student/family, the state may subsidize the student

District / Town Budgetary Incentives / Disincentives

- f. Receiving school disincentivized if school choice funds not utilized for school choice requirements
- g. Sending school incentivized to maintain/improve better performance iv
- h. Sending district / town incentivized to appropriate adequate funding to schools iv

Footnotes

- i. Performace metrics to be defined and standardized
- ii. Applies only in the case of School Choice decision while ineligible
- iii. Develop/enhance state monitoring mechanisms
- iv. Design state managed application process for schools to request waivers, credits

Thank You.

Questions / Comments?